## **Item SPR06-13 Response Form**

Title:	Managing Discovery of Electronically Stored Information (amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 212)
	Agree with proposed changes
	Agree with proposed changes if modified
	☐ <b>Do not agree</b> with proposed changes
Comn	nents:
Name	:Title:
Orgar	nization:
	☐ Commenting on behalf of an organization
Addre	ess:
City,	State, Zip:
Please	write or fax or respond using the Internet to:
	dress: Ms. Romunda Price, Judicial Council, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 865-7664 Attention: Romunda Price
	rnet: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/invitationstocomment

Your comments may be written on this *Response Form* or directly on the proposal or as a letter. If you are not commenting directly on this sheet please remember to attach it to your comments for identification purposes.

**DEADLINE FOR COMMENT:** 5:00 p.m., Friday, June 23, 2006

## Invitations to Comment SPR06-13

Title	Managing Discovery of Electronically Stored Information (amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 212)
Summary	Rule 212 of the California Rules of Court would be amended to include the preservation and discovery of electronic data on the list of (1) matters on which parties must meet and confer in civil cases and (2) subjects to be considered at civil case management conferences.
Source	Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee Hon. Elihu M. Berle, Chair
Staff	Patrick O'Donnell, Committee Counsel, 415-865-7665 patrick.o'donnell@jud.ca.gov
Discussion	Court management of discovery of electronically stored information ("e-discovery") has become an important issue for litigants and the courts in civil cases, particularly in larger and more complicated cases. Proper and effective management can simplify the process of e-discovery and reduce the costs of litigation. Several of the pending federal rules amendments directly address the management of e-discovery. California would benefit from the inclusion of similar provisions in its rules on civil case management.
	This proposal would amend rule 212 of the California Rules of Court, which concerns civil case management, to include provisions similar to the proposed new e-discovery provisions in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Specifically, the amendments to rule 212 would add provisions on the management of e-discovery to subdivisions (e) and (f) of rule 212 similar to those proposed to be included in Federal Rules 26(f) and 16(b).
	Thus, when the parties meet and confer before a case management conference, they would be required to consider: (1) identifying and resolving any issues relating to preserving discoverable information, including electronically stored information; (2) identifying and resolving any issues relating to the discovery or voluntary disclosure of electronically stored information, including the form or forms in

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The term "disclosure" is used in the pending amendments to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26. In those rules, the term refers to the required disclosures under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). However, California law does not have equivalent mandatory disclosure requirements. In this state, parties may voluntarily disclose documents and information. So in the proposed amendments to rule 212 of the California Rules of Court, the term "voluntary disclosure" is used to clarify the type of disclosure that is being referred to.

which the information should be produced; and (3) identifying and resolving any issues relating to claims of privilege or protection of attorney work product, including—if the parties agree on a procedure to assert such claims after production—whether to ask the court to include their agreement in an order. (See proposed amended rule 212(f)(1)-(3).)

At the case management conference, the list of subjects to be considered would be expanded to include: (1) whether the case involves issues relating to the preservation of discoverable information, including electronically stored information and, if so, whether the case management order should include provisions concerning the preservation of such information; (2) whether the case management order should include provisions regarding the discovery or voluntary disclosure of electronically stored information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced; and (3) whether the order should include any agreements the parties have reached with respect to the assertion, preservation, or waiver of any privileges or work product protection for any materials produced by any party. (See proposed amended rule 212(e)(8) and (11)–(12).)

Attachment

## Proposal

Rule 212 of the California Rules of Court would be amended, effective January 1, 2007, to read:

1	Rul	e 212. Case management conference; meet-and-confer requirement; and
2		case management order
3		
4	(a)–	-(d) * * *
5		
6	<b>(e)</b>	[Subjects to be considered at the case management conference] In any
7		case management conference or review under this rule, the parties must
8		address, if applicable, and the court may take appropriate action with respect
9		to, the following:
10		
11		(1)–(7) * * *
12		
13		(8) Whether the case involves any issues relating to the preservation of
14		discoverable information, including electronically stored information,
15		and, if so, whether the case management order should include
16		provisions concerning preservation of such information;
17		
18		(8)(9) Whether discovery has been completed and, if not, the date by which it
19		will be completed;
20		
21		(9)(10) What discovery issues are anticipated;
22		
23		(11) Whether the case management order should include provisions
24		regarding the discovery or voluntary disclosure of electronically stored
25		information, including the form or forms in which it should be
26		produced;
27		
28		(12) Whether the order should include any agreements the parties have
29		reached with respect to the assertion, preservation, or waiver of any
30		privileges or work product protection for any materials produced by any
31		party;
32		
33		(10)(13) Whether the case should be bifurcated or a hearing should be set for
34		a motion to bifurcate under section 598 of the Code of Civil Procedure;
35		
36		(11)(14) Whether there are any cross-complaints that are not ready to be set
37		for trial and, if so, whether they should be served;

1		
2		(12)(15) Whether the case is entitled to any statutory preference and, if so,
3		the statute granting the preference;
4		
5		(13)(16) Whether a jury trial is demanded, and, if so, the identify of each
6		party requesting a jury trial;
7		
8		(14)(17) If the trial date has not been previously set, the date by which the
9		case will be ready for trial and the available trial dates;
10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11		(15)(18) The estimated length of trial;
12		(10)(10)
13		(16)(19) The nature of the injuries;
14		(10)(17) The nature of the injuries,
15		(17)(20) The amount of damages, including any special or punitive damages;
16		(17)(20) The amount of damages, merdanig any special of punitive damages,
17		(18)(21) Any additional relief sought;
18		(10)(21) Any additional tener sought,
19		(10)(22) Whather there are any incurence coverage issues that may affect the
		(19)(22) Whether there are any insurance coverage issues that may affect the
20		resolution of the case; and
21		(20)(22) A 4 4 4 4 1 111 11 11 4
22		(20)(23) Any other matters that should be considered by the court or
23		addressed in its case management order.
24	<b>(0</b> )	
25	<b>(f)</b>	[Meet-and-confer requirement] Unless the court orders another time
26		period, no later than 30 calendar days before the date set for the case
27		management conference, the parties must meet and confer, in person or by
28		telephone, to consider each of the issues identified in (e) and, in addition, to
29		consider the following:
30		
31		(1) <u>Identifying and resolving any issues relating to preserving discoverable</u>
32		information, including electronically stored information;
33		
34		(2) Identifying and resolving any issues relating to the discovery or
35		voluntary disclosure of electronically stored information, including the
36		form or forms in which the information should be produced;
37		
38		(3) Identifying and resolving any issues relating to claims of privilege or
39		protection of attorney work product, including—if the parties agree on a
40		procedure to assert such claims after production—whether to ask the
41		court to include their agreement in an order;
42		
43		(1)(4) Resolving any discovery disputes and setting a discovery schedule;
44		(-), -12001

1	(2)(5) Identifying and, if possible, informally resolving any anticipated
2	motions;
3	
4	(3)(6) Identifying the facts and issues in the case that are uncontested and
5	may be the subject of stipulation;
6	
7	(4)(7) Identifying the facts and issues in the case that are in dispute;
8	
9	(5)(8) Determining whether the issues in the case can be narrowed by
10	eliminating any claims or defenses by means of a motion or otherwise
11	
12	(6)(9) Possible settlement;
13	
14	(7)(10) Identifying the dates on which all parties and their attorneys are
15	available or not available for trial, including the reasons for
16	unavailability; and
17	
18	$\frac{(8)(11)}{(8)(11)}$ Other relevant matters.
19	
20	(g)-(k) * * *